

c) a global maximizer for  $f(\vec{x}^*)$  if  $Hf(\vec{x}^*)$  is negative semidefinite on  $\mathbb{R}^n$

d) a strict global maximizer for  $f(\vec{x}^*)$  if  $Hf(\vec{x}^*)$  is negative definite on  $\mathbb{R}^n$

How can we tell whether a matrix  $A$  is positive (negative) (semi) definite?

There are two ways:

- Using determinants
- Using eigenvectors

Method 1: Through determinants

Consider a two-dimensional case first, the  $n$ -dimensional case can be derived through induction.

Let  $f_{xx} = a$ ,  $f_{yy} = b$ ,  $h = f_{xy} = f_{yx}$   
then  $Hf$  would be positive definite if

$$\vec{x}^T Hf \vec{x} = (x_1, x_2)^T \begin{pmatrix} a & h \\ h & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow ax_1^2 + 2hx_1x_2 + bx_2^2 > 0$$

Since we want to see whether  $Hf$  is positive definite independently of  $\vec{x}$ , we can make  $x_1 = tx_2$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

So

$$at^2x_2^2 + 2htx_2^2 + bx_2^2 > 0$$

$(at^2 + 2ht + b)x_2^2 > 0$  which occurs for any  $x_2 \neq 0$ , and  $(at^2 + 2ht + b) > 0 \quad t \neq 0$

$$\varphi(t) = at^2 + 2ht + b$$

$$\varphi'(t) = 2at + 2h$$

$$\varphi''(t) = 2a$$

$\downarrow$   
 $a > 0 \quad \varphi(t^*) \text{ min}$   
 $a < 0 \quad \varphi(t^*) \text{ max}$

$$\varphi'(t^*) = 2at^* + 2h = 0$$

$$t^* = -\frac{h}{a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(t^*) &= a \left(-\frac{h}{a}\right)^2 + 2h \left(-\frac{h}{a}\right) + b \\
 &= \frac{h^2}{a} - \frac{2h^2}{a} + b \\
 &= \frac{-h^2}{a} + b = \frac{ab - h^2}{a}
 \end{aligned}$$

We can conclude then that

$$\varphi(t) > 0 \text{ if } a > 0 \text{ \& } ab - h^2 > 0$$

or

$$a > 0 \text{ and } \det \begin{pmatrix} a & h \\ h & b \end{pmatrix} > 0$$

Condition taught in Calculus

Theorem: A  $2 \times 2$  symmetric matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

is:

a) positive definite iff

$$a_{11} > 0 \quad \& \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} > 0$$

b) negative definite iff

$$a_{11} < 0 \quad \& \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} > 0$$

In the  $n$ -th dimensional case  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix. Define  $\Delta_k$  to be the determinant of the upper left-hand corner  $k \times k$  submatrix of  $A$   $1 \leq k \leq n$ . The determinant  $\Delta_k$  is called the  $k$ th principal minor of  $A$ .

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \overset{\Delta_1}{a_{11}} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \underline{a_{12}} & \underline{a_{22}} & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \underline{a_{13}} & \underline{a_{23}} & \underline{a_{33}} & \dots & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & & & & \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & a_{3n} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Delta_1 = a_{11} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\vdots$

$$\Delta_n = \det A$$

Theorem: If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$ -symmetric matrix and if  $\Delta_k$  is the  $k$ th principal minor of  $A$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , then:

a)  $A$  is positive definite iff  $\Delta_k > 0$  for  $k=1, 2, \dots, n$ .

b)  $A$  is negative definite iff  $(-1)^k \Delta_k > 0$  for  $k=1, 2, \dots, n$  (i.e., the principal minors alternate in sign with  $\Delta_1 < 0$ ).

Method 2: Through eigenvectors

Remember that an eigenvector of a matrix  $A$  is a vector  $\vec{x}$  that satisfies

$$A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x} \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a scalar called the eigenvalue associated to  $\vec{x}$ , with  $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$ .

(1) can be rewritten as

$$(A - \lambda I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$$

If we want a nontrivial solution, then

$$(2) \det(A - \lambda I) = 0 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{because the coefficients are} \\ \text{linearly dependent} \end{array} \right\}$$

(2) will result in a  $n$ -th degree polynomial in  $\lambda$ , and thus there will be  $n$  roots  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ .

Since there are an infinite number of vectors  $\vec{x}$  that satisfy (1) for each  $\lambda_i$ , we normalize these vectors and refer to them as  $\hat{x}_i$ .

Example: Find the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 2-\lambda & 2 \\ 2 & -1-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (2-\lambda)(-1-\lambda) - 4 = 0$$

$$-2 - 2\lambda + \lambda + \lambda^2 - 4 = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 6 = 0$$

$$\text{Since } \lambda^2 - \lambda - 6 = (\lambda - 3)(\lambda + 2) = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 = 3, \quad \lambda_2 = -2$$

Doing  $\lambda_1 = 3$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2-3 & 2 \\ 2 & -1-3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

linearly dependent rows.

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 + 2x_2 \\ 2x_1 - 4x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

$$-x_1 + 2x_2 = 2x_1 - 4x_2$$

$$-3x_1 = -6x_2$$

$$x_1 = 2x_2$$

Now, normalization:  $(x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1)$

$$4x_2^2 + x_2^2 = 1 \Rightarrow x_2^2 = \frac{1}{5} \Rightarrow$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \text{ and } x_1 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \text{ or } \left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right)$$

with  $\lambda_2 = -2$  we get.

$$\hat{x}_2 = \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\right) \text{ or } \hat{x}_2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}, -\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\right)$$

Because  $\hat{x}_i$  are unit vectors,  $\hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{x}_i = 1$   
and, additionally,  $\hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{x}_j = 0$   $i \neq j$ .

This is because if

$$A\hat{x}_i = \lambda_i \hat{x}_i \quad (a)$$

$$A\hat{x}_j = \lambda_j \hat{x}_j \quad (b)$$

pre-multiplying:

$$\hat{x}_j \cdot A \hat{x}_i = \hat{x}_j \cdot \lambda_i \hat{x}_i = \lambda_i \hat{x}_j \cdot \hat{x}_i = \lambda_i \hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{x}_j$$

$$\hat{x}_i \cdot A \hat{x}_j = \hat{x}_i \cdot \lambda_j \hat{x}_j = \lambda_j \hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{x}_j$$

Since  $\hat{x}_j \cdot A \hat{x}_i$  and  $\hat{x}_i \cdot A \hat{x}_j$  are scalars and  $A$  is symmetric, then

$$\hat{x}_j \cdot A \hat{x}_i = \hat{x}_i \cdot A \hat{x}_j$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\lambda_i \hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{x}_j = \lambda_j \hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{x}_j$$

$$\underbrace{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}_{\neq 0} \underbrace{(\hat{x}_i \cdot \hat{x}_j)}_0 = 0$$

\* Now the idea is to transform  $\vec{x} \cdot A \vec{x}$  into  $\lambda_1 y_1^2 + \lambda_2 y_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_n y_n^2$  so that everything depends on  $\lambda_i$ ,

Let  $B = [\hat{x}_1 \hat{x}_2 \dots \hat{x}_n]$ , eigenvectors of  $A$ . then set

$\vec{x} = B \vec{y}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x} \cdot A \vec{x} &= \vec{x}^T A \vec{x} = (B \vec{y})^T A (B \vec{y}) \\ &= \vec{y}^T B^T A B \vec{y} \end{aligned}$$

Now, if  $R = B^T A B$ , what is the form of  $R$ ?

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_1^T \\ \hat{x}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \hat{x}_n^T \end{bmatrix} A \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_1 & \hat{x}_2 & \dots & \hat{x}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_1^T \\ \hat{x}_2^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \hat{x}_1 & A \hat{x}_2 & \dots & A \hat{x}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_1^T \\ \hat{x}_2^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \hat{x}_1 & \lambda_2 \hat{x}_2 & \dots & \lambda_n \hat{x}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \hat{x}_1^T \hat{x}_1 & \lambda_2 \hat{x}_1^T \hat{x}_2 & \dots & \lambda_n \hat{x}_1^T \hat{x}_n \\ \lambda_1 \hat{x}_2^T \hat{x}_1 & \lambda_2 \hat{x}_2^T \hat{x}_2 & \dots & \lambda_n \hat{x}_2^T \hat{x}_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1 \hat{x}_n^T \hat{x}_1 & \lambda_2 \hat{x}_n^T \hat{x}_2 & \dots & \lambda_n \hat{x}_n^T \hat{x}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, we can conclude:

a)  $A$  is positive (negative) definite, iff every eigenvalue of  $A$  is positive (negative).

b)  $A$  is positive (negative) semidefinite, iff all eigenvalues of  $A$  are nonnegative (non positive).

c)  $A$  is indefinite, iff some of its eigenvalues are positive and some are negative.

Local extrema theorem. Suppose that  $f(\vec{x})$  is a function with continuous first and second partial derivatives on some set  $D$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $\vec{x}^*$  is an interior point of  $D$  and that  $\vec{x}^*$  is a critical point of  $f(\vec{x})$ . Then  $\vec{x}^*$  is:

a) a strict local minimizer of  $f(\vec{x})$  if  $Hf(\vec{x}^*)$  is positive definite

b) a strict local maximizer of  $f(\vec{x})$  if  $Hf(\vec{x}^*)$  is negative definite.

Examples: Minimize

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 - x_1x_3.$$

$$\nabla f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x_1 - x_2 - x_3, \\ 2x_2 - x_1 + x_3, \\ 2x_3 + x_2 - x_1 \end{pmatrix}^T$$

Critical points:

$$\begin{aligned} 2x_1 - x_2 - x_3 &= 0 \\ -x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 &= 0 \\ -x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{x}^* = (0, 0, 0)^T$$

$$Hf(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Delta_1 > 0$ ,  $\Delta_2 > 0$  &  $\Delta_3 > 0$ .  $Hf$  is positive definite and  $\vec{x}^*$  is a strict global minimizer for  $f(\vec{x})$ . In fact, since  $\vec{x}^*$  is the only critical point and  $\nabla f(\vec{x})$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\vec{x}^*$  is the only strict global minimizer.

b) Minimize

$$f(x, y, z) = e^{x-y} + e^{y-x} + e^{x^2} + z^2$$

$$\nabla f(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{x-y} - e^{y-x} + 2xe^{x^2}, \\ -e^{x-y} + e^{y-x}, \\ 2z \end{pmatrix}^T$$

If  $\nabla f(x, y, z) = \vec{0}$ , then  $z = 0$ ,  
 $-e^{x-y} + e^{y-x} = 0 \Rightarrow e^{x-y} = e^{y-x} \Rightarrow$   
 $x-y = y-x \Rightarrow x=y$  and

$e^{x-y} - e^{y-x} + 2xe^{x^2} = 0$ , but since  
 $x=y$ , then  $2xe^{x^2} = 0 \Rightarrow x=0$  and  
 $y=0$ . So

$$\vec{c}^* = (0, 0, 0)^T$$

Now,

$$Hf(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{x-y} + e^{y-x} + 4x^2e^{x^2} + 2x^2 & -e^{x-y} - e^{y-x} & 0 \\ -e^{x-y} - e^{y-x} & e^{x-y} + e^{y-x} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Delta_1 > 0$  because all the terms of it are positive.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_2 &= (e^{x-y} + e^{y-x})(e^{x-y} + e^{y-x} + 4x^2e^{x^2} + 2x^2) \\ &\quad - (e^{x-y} + e^{y-x})^2 \\ &= (e^{x-y} + e^{y-x})^2 + (e^{x-y} + e^{y-x})(4x^2e^{x^2} + 2x^2) \\ &\quad - (e^{x-y} + e^{y-x})^2 \\ &= (e^{x-y} + e^{y-x})(4x^2e^{x^2} + 2x^2) > 0\end{aligned}$$

because each factor is positive.

Finally,  $\Delta_3 = 2\Delta_2 > 0$ .

Therefore,  $\vec{e}^*$  is a strict global minimizer for  $f(x, y, z)$ .

c) Find the global minimizers of

$$f(x, y) = e^{x-y} + e^{y-x}$$

$$\nabla f(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{x-y} - e^{y-x} \\ -e^{x-y} + e^{y-x} \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $\nabla f(x, y) = \vec{0}$ , then

$$e^{x-y} = e^{y-x} \Rightarrow x-y = y-x \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{x=y}}$$

line

So, all points along  $y=x$  are critical points.

Now,

$$Hf(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{x-y} + e^{y-x} & -e^{x-y} - e^{y-x} \\ -e^{x-y} - e^{y-x} & e^{x-y} + e^{y-x} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Delta_1 > 0$ , but  $\Delta_2 = 0$ . So, by our earlier discussion:

"A is positive semidefinite if of all of its principal minors are non-negative."

All points  $x=y$  are global minimizers of  $f(x,y)$ .