MATH529 – Fundamentals of Optimization Trust Region Algorithms

#### MARCO A. MONTES DE OCA

#### Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware, USA

- Line Search
  - Select a search (descent) direction  $\mathbf{p}_k$ .
  - Select step size  $\alpha_k$  to ensure sufficient descent along  $f(\mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k)$ .
  - Move to new point  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$ .
- Trust Region
  - Build model  $m_k$  of f at  $\mathbf{x}_k$ . (Similar to Newton's method.)
  - Solve  $\mathbf{p}_k = \min_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n} m_k(\mathbf{p}) = f_k + \mathbf{g}_k^T \mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}^T B_k \mathbf{p}$  s.t.  $||\mathbf{p}|| \le \Delta_k$
  - If predicted decrease is good enough, then  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{p}_k$ . Otherwise,  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k$  and improve the model.

To measure how well the predicted decrease matches the actual decrease, we use:

$$\rho_k = \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_k) - f(\mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{p}_k)}{m_k(0) - m_k(\mathbf{p}_k)}$$

Given that  $m_k(0) - m_k(\mathbf{p}_k) > 0$ , if  $\rho_k < 0$  then the predicted reduction is not obtained, the step is rejected and  $\Delta_k$  is decreased. If  $\rho_k \approx 1$ , then accept  $\mathbf{p}_k$  and increase  $\Delta_k$ . If  $\rho_k > 0$  but not  $\approx 1$ , then accept  $\mathbf{p}_k$  and do not change  $\Delta_k$ . If  $\rho_k > 0$  but  $\approx 0$ , the step may be accepted or not, and  $\Delta_k$  is decreased.

# Algorithm

Inttialization: k = 0,  $\Delta_0 > 0$ , and  $\mathbf{x}_0$  by educated guess. Set  $\eta_g \in (0, 1)$  (typically,  $\eta_g = 0.9$ ),  $\eta_a \in (0, \eta_g)$  (typically,  $\eta_a = 0.1$ ),  $\gamma_e \ge 1$  (typically,  $\gamma_e = 2$ ), and  $\gamma_s \in (0, 1)$  (typically,  $\gamma_s = 0.5$ ).

Until convergence do:

Build model  $m_k(\mathbf{p})$ .

Solve trust region subproblem (result in  $\mathbf{p}_k$ )

Test acceptance criterion (result in  $\rho_k$ ).

If  $\rho_k \geq \eta_g$ , then  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{p}_k$  and  $\Delta_{k+1} = \gamma_e \Delta_k$ Else If  $\rho_k \geq \eta_a$ , then  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{p}_k$ Else If  $\rho_k < \eta_a$ , then  $\Delta_{k+1} = \gamma_s \Delta_k$ Increase k by one We want to solve the subproblem as efficiently as possible.

We want a solution that at least decreases the model as much as the steepest descent would subject to the size of the trust region.

## Solving the trust region subproblem approximately



Figure 5.9. The trust region subproblem. The arrow represents the direction of steepest descent and  $x_c^k$  is the Cauchy point. The dotted curve represents the solutions of the subproblem for various values of  $\Delta_k$ .

From Ruszczyński A. "Nonlinear Optimization" pp. 268. Princeton University Press. 2006.

The Cauchy point can be found by minimizing the model along a line segment.

Thus, let  $\mathbf{p}_k^s = -\Delta_k \frac{\mathbf{g}_k}{||\mathbf{g}_k||}$ . (Point at the border of the trust region in the direction of steepest descent.)

The Cauchy point is 
$$\mathbf{p}_k^{C} = au_k \mathbf{p}_k^{s} = - au_k \Delta_k rac{\mathbf{g}_k}{||\mathbf{g}_k||}.$$

To find 
$$\tau_k$$
, consider  
 $g(\tau) = m_k(\tau \mathbf{p}_k^s) = f_k + \mathbf{g}_k^T(\tau \mathbf{p}_k^s) + \frac{1}{2}(\tau \mathbf{p}_k^s)^T B_k(\tau \mathbf{p}_k^s)$   
 $m_k(\tau \mathbf{p}_k^s) = f_k + \tau \mathbf{g}_k^T \mathbf{p}_k^s + \frac{\tau^2}{2}(\mathbf{p}_k^s)^T B_k \mathbf{p}_k^s$ 

Differentiating wrt  $\tau$ :

$$0 = g'(\tau) = \mathbf{g}_k^T \mathbf{p}_k^s + \tau(\mathbf{p}_k^s)^T B_k \mathbf{p}_k^s$$
, which means that

## Cauchy Point

$$\tau_k = -\frac{\mathbf{g}_k^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{p}_k^{\mathsf{s}}}{(\mathbf{p}_k^{\mathsf{s}})^{\mathsf{T}} B_k \mathbf{p}_k^{\mathsf{s}}}.$$
 (1)

Substituting 
$$\mathbf{p}_k^s = -\Delta_k \frac{\mathbf{g}_k}{||\mathbf{g}_k||}$$
 in (1):

$$\tau_k = -\frac{\mathbf{g_k}^T(-\Delta_k \frac{\mathbf{g}_k}{||\mathbf{g}_k||})}{(-\Delta_k \frac{\mathbf{g}_k}{||\mathbf{g}_k||})^T B_k(-\Delta_k \frac{\mathbf{g}_k}{||\mathbf{g}_k||})} = \frac{1}{\Delta_k} \frac{||\mathbf{g}_k||}{\frac{1}{||\mathbf{g}_k||^2} (\mathbf{g}_k^T B_k \mathbf{g}_k)} = \frac{1}{\Delta_k} \frac{||\mathbf{g}_k||^3}{\mathbf{g}_k^T B_k \mathbf{g}_k}.$$

However, there may be two problems:

a) 
$$au_k > \Delta_k$$
, or  
b)  $\mathbf{g}_k^T B_k \mathbf{g}_k \leq 0$ , that is,  $B_k$  is not positive definite

So, we define the Cauchy point as follows:

Definition (Cauchy Point)  

$$\mathbf{p}_{k}^{C} = \tau_{k} \mathbf{p}_{k}^{s} = -\tau_{k} \Delta_{k} \frac{\mathbf{g}_{k}}{||\mathbf{g}_{k}||}, \text{ where}$$

$$\tau_{k} = 1 \text{ if } \mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} B_{k} \mathbf{g}_{k} \leq 0, \text{ or } \tau_{k} = \min\{1, \frac{1}{\Delta_{k}} \frac{||\mathbf{g}_{k}||^{3}}{\mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} B_{k} \mathbf{g}_{k}}\} \text{ otherwise.}$$

- A reduction at least as good as the one obtained with the Cauchy step guarantees that the trust-region method is convergent.
- The Cauchy step is just a steepest descent step with fixed length (Δ<sub>k</sub>). (Thus, it is inefficient.)
- The direction of the Cauchy step does not depend directly on  $B_k$ , which means that curvature information is not exploited in its calculation.

### Improvements over Cauchy step

The main idea is to incorporate information provided by the "full step" (Newton step for the local model  $m_k$ ):  $\mathbf{p}_k^B = -B_k^{-1}\mathbf{g}_k$  whenever  $||\mathbf{p}_k^B|| \leq \Delta_k$ .

#### **Dogleg Method**

Let  $\mathbf{p}_k^*$  be the solution to the subproblem. If  $\Delta_k \ge ||\mathbf{p}_k^B||$ , then  $\mathbf{p}_k^* = \mathbf{p}_k^B$ . If, however,  $\Delta_k << ||\mathbf{p}_k^B||$ , then  $\mathbf{p}_k^* \approx \mathbf{p}_k^s = -\Delta_k \frac{\mathbf{g}_k}{||\mathbf{g}_k||}$ .

The idea of the dogleg method is to combine these two directions and search the minimum of the model along the resulting path  $\tilde{\rho}(\tau)$ :

$$\widetilde{p}( au) = egin{cases} au \mathbf{p}_k^U & 0 \leq au \leq 1, \ \mathbf{p}_k^U + ( au - 1)(\mathbf{p}_k^B - \mathbf{p}_k^U) & 1 < au \leq 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $0 \le \tau \le 2$ , and  $\mathbf{p}_k^U = -\frac{\mathbf{g}_k^T \mathbf{g}_k}{\mathbf{g}_k^T B_k \mathbf{g}_k} \mathbf{g}_k$ , i.e., the steepest descent step with exact length (see that if  $||\mathbf{p}_k^C|| < \Delta_k$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_k^U = \mathbf{p}_k^C$ ).



Adapted from Nocedal J. and Wright S. "Numerical Optimization" 2nd. Ed. pp. 74. Springer. 2006.

If  $B_k$  is positive definite,  $m(\tilde{p}(\tau))$  is a decreasing function of  $\tau$  (Lemma 4.2, page 75). Therefore:

The minimum along  $\tilde{p}(\tau)$  is attained at  $\tau = 2$  if  $||\mathbf{p}_k^B|| \leq \Delta_k$ .

If  $||\mathbf{p}_k^B|| > \Delta_k$ , we need to find  $\tau$  such that  $||\widetilde{p}(\tau)|| = \Delta_k$ .

# Dogleg Method

#### Example: $f(x, y) = x^2 + 10y^2$



# 2D Subspace Minimization

The dogleg is completely contained in the plane spanned by  $\mathbf{p}_k^U$  and  $\mathbf{p}_k^B$ . Therefore, one may extend the search to the whole subspace spanned by  $\mathbf{p}_k^U$  and  $\mathbf{p}_k^B$ ,  $\operatorname{span}[\mathbf{p}_k^U, \mathbf{p}_k^B]$ .



Given span $[\mathbf{p}_k^U, \mathbf{p}_k^B] = {\mathbf{v} | a\mathbf{p}_k^U + b\mathbf{p}_k^B}$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . The subproblem is thus:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}\in\mathbb{R}}\left[f_{k}+(\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{p}_{k}^{U}+\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{p}_{k}^{B})^{T}\nabla f_{k}+\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{p}_{k}^{U}+\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{p}_{k}^{B})^{T}B_{k}(\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{p}_{k}^{U}+\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{p}_{k}^{B})\right]$$

s.t.  $||\mathbf{a}\mathbf{p}_{k}^{U} + b\mathbf{p}_{k}^{B}|| \leq \Delta_{k}$ ,

which can be solved using tools from constrained optimization. (To be discussed after break.)

## Issues

Problem: Newton's step may not be decreasing.

Example: Newton's step solves the system  $Hf_k \mathbf{p} = -\nabla f_k$ . Now,  $\begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{p} = -(1, -3, 2)^T = (-1, 3, -2)^T$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{p} = (-1/10, 1, 2)$ . However,  $\mathbf{p}^T \nabla f_k > 0$ , thus  $\mathbf{p}$  is not a descent direction.

Solution approaches:

- Replace negative eigenvalues by some small positive number.
- Replace negative eigenvalues by their negative.

# Replace negative eigenvalues by some small positive number

Now 
$$Hf_k = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10^{-6} \end{pmatrix}$$
, so  $\mathbf{p}^T \nabla f_k < 0$ , but  $\mathbf{p} = ?$ 

# Replace negative eigenvalues by some small positive number

Now 
$$Hf_k = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10^{-6} \end{pmatrix}$$
, so  $\mathbf{p}^T \nabla f_k < 0$ , but  $\mathbf{p} = ?$ 

# Replace negative eigenvalues by their negative

Now 
$$Hf_k = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
, so  $\mathbf{p}^T \nabla f_k < 0$ , but  $\mathbf{p} = ?$ 

Perturb  $B_k$  with  $\beta I$  such that:

• 
$$(B_k + \beta I)\mathbf{p} = -g$$
,

• 
$$\beta(\Delta_k - ||\mathbf{p}||) = 0$$
, and

• 
$$B_k + \beta I$$
 is positive semidefinite.

with  $\beta \in (-\lambda_1, -2\lambda_1]$ , where  $\lambda_1$  is the most negative eigenvalue of B.

- Iterative solution of the subproblem: To avoid direct Hessian manipulation.
- Scaling: ||D**p**|| ≤ Δ<sub>k</sub>. This created elliptical trust regions, which reduce the problem of different scaling of some variables.

- Conjugate Gradient Methods: A set of nonzero vectors {p<sub>0</sub>, p<sub>1</sub>,...,..p<sub>n</sub>} are conjugate wrt to a symmetric positive definite matrix A if p<sub>i</sub><sup>T</sup>Ap<sub>i</sub> = 0, for all i ≠ j.
- Quasi-Newton Methods: Use changes in gradient information to estimate a model of the function in order to achive superlinear convergence. Example: B<sub>k+1</sub>α<sub>k</sub>**p**<sub>k</sub> = ∇f<sub>k+1</sub> − ∇f<sub>k</sub> (BFGS Method).
- Derivative-free methods.
- Heuristic methods.