

University of Delaware
Department of Mathematical Sciences

MATH-529 – Fundamentals of Optimization
Instructor: Dr. Marco A. Montes de Oca
Spring 2014

Exam II

Name: KEY Section: 10

May 7, 2014

Question	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Points						

Instructions

- The exam consists of five problems for a total of 100 points.
- Read very carefully each problem before working on it.
- Partial credit will not be given if appropriate work is not shown.
- If you get stuck on a problem, skip it and come back to it if you have extra time at the end.
- Answer questions in the space provided. If you need more space for an answer, continue your answer on the back of the page, or/and use the margins of the test pages.
- Carefully work out each problem and clearly indicate your final answer to any problem.
- You may use calculators but no other aids such as dictionaries, notes, books, etc.
- **DISHONESTY WILL NOT BE TOLERATED.**

Problems

1. [20 points] Follow the instructions below:

a) (10 points) Using the Lagrange multipliers method, find the extreme point(s) of the constrained problem

$$\text{Optimize } x^4 - x^2 + y^4 - y^2$$

$$\text{subject to } x - y = 0$$

$$L(x, y, \lambda) = x^4 - x^2 + y^4 - y^2 + \lambda(y - x)$$

$$\nabla L(x, y, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 4x^3 - 2x & -\lambda \\ 4y^3 - 2y & \lambda \\ y - x \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\text{From (3)} x = y;$$

$$\text{In (1)+(2)}.$$

$$8x^3 - 4x = 0$$

$$x(8x^2 - 4) = 0$$

$$\text{or } \begin{aligned} x &= 0 & y &= 0 \\ x &= \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & y &= \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

b) (10 points) Using a bordered Hessian, classify the point(s) found in the previous step as local maximizer(s) or minimizer(s).

$$\bar{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 12x^2 - 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 12y^2 - 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$@ (0,0)$$

$$\bar{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\det(\bar{H}) = -1(-2) - 1(-2) = +4 > 0$
 $(0,0)$ is a local maximizer

$$@ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

$$\bar{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$ is a local minimizer

$$@ \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

$$\bar{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\det(\bar{H}) = -8 < 0$
 $\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$ is a local minimizer.

2. [20 points] Follow the instructions below:

a) (10 points) Find all the points that satisfy the KKT conditions for the following program:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize } y - x \\ & \text{subject to } y - x^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$2 - x - y \geq 0$$

$$L(x, y, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = y - x + \lambda_1(x^2 - y) + \lambda_2(x + y - 2)$$

$$\nabla_{x,y} L(x, y, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 + 2\lambda_1 & x + \lambda_2 \\ 1 - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y - x^2 \geq 0, 2 - x - y \geq 0$$

$$\lambda_1(y - x^2) = 0, \lambda_2(2 - x - y) = 0$$

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$$

$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$	$\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 > 0$
Violates (1) & (2) X	Violates (2) X

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1 > 0, \lambda_2 = 0 \\ & \text{From (2)}: \lambda_1 = 1 \\ & \text{In (1)}: x = \frac{1}{2} \\ & \text{From (5)}: y = x^2 = \frac{1}{4} \\ & \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\right), \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 > 0 \\ & \text{From (5) \& (6)}: y = x^2, x + y = 2 \\ & x^2 + x - 2 = 0 \Rightarrow (x-1)(x+2) = 0 \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (x=1, y=1) \\ (x=-2, y=4) \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

b) (10 points) Determine whether LICQ or MFCQ are satisfied at the solution point(s).

Active constraint at $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4})$: c_1

$$\nabla c_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -2x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} @ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}): \nabla c_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is linearly independent, then LICQ is satisfied.

If, for example, $w = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$, then $w^T \nabla c = 3 > 0$
∴ MFCQ is satisfied too.

a) In (1) & (2):

$$\begin{aligned} & -1 + 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \\ & 1 - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \\ & \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 + 1 \\ & -1 + 2(\lambda_2 + 1) + \lambda_2 = 0 \\ & -1 + 2\lambda_2 + 2 + \lambda_2 = 0 \\ & 3\lambda_2 + 1 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 < 0 \\ & \text{Violates (7)} \end{aligned}$$

b) In (1) & (2):

$$\begin{aligned} & -1 - 4\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \\ & \text{Since } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 + 1: \\ & -1 - 4(\lambda_2 + 1) + \lambda_2 = 0 \\ & -3\lambda_2 - 5 = 0 \quad \lambda_2 < 0 \\ & \text{Violates (7)} \end{aligned}$$

3. [20 points] Follow the following instructions:

a) (10 points) Form a quadratic penalized function for the program

Minimize xy

subject to $1 + y - x = 0$.

$$Q(x, y, \mu) = xy + \frac{\mu}{2} (1+y-x)^2$$

b) (10 points) Show that the penalized function that you defined above is unbounded below if $\mu < \frac{1}{2}$. (In other words, show that when $\mu < \frac{1}{2}$, the penalized function does not have a global minimum.)

To show that Q is unbounded below when $\mu < \frac{1}{2}$, we need to show that the Hessian of Q when $\mu < \frac{1}{2}$ is not positive (semi) definite.

$$\nabla Q(x, y, \mu) = \begin{pmatrix} y + \mu(1+y-x)(-1) \\ x + \mu(1+y-x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$HQ(x, y, \mu) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 1-\mu \\ 1-\mu & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

We need $\Delta_1 > 0$ & $\Delta_2 > 0$ for HQ to be positive definite

Thus: $\mu > 0$ & $\mu^2 - (1-\mu)^2 > 0$

$$\mu^2 - (1-2\mu+\mu^2) > 0$$

$$\mu^2 - 1 + 2\mu - \mu^2 > 0$$

$$2\mu > 1$$

$$\mu > \frac{1}{2}$$

If $\mu < \frac{1}{2}$ a critical point of Q would be a saddle point and Q would be unbounded below.

4. [20 points] Follow the following instructions:

a) (10 points) Form the augmented Lagrangian associated with the program

Minimize x^2

subject to $c(x) = x - 1 = 0$.

$$L(x, \lambda, \mu) = x^2 + \lambda(1-x) + \frac{\mu}{2}(x-1)^2$$

b) Simulate two iterations of the augmented Lagrangian method starting with $\lambda_0 = 0$ and $\mu_0 = 1$ (At each iteration solve the resulting unconstrained optimization problem exactly, and update λ and μ using $\lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_k - \mu_k c(x_k)$, and $\mu_{k+1} = \alpha \mu_k$). Use $\alpha = 2$.

Iteration 1:

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, 0, 1) &= x^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2 \\ \nabla L(x, 0, 1) &= 2x + (x-1) = 3x - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = \frac{1}{3} \\ \lambda_1 &= 0 - 1 \left(\frac{1}{3} - 1 \right) = -1 \left(-\frac{2}{3} \right) = \frac{2}{3} \\ \mu_1 &= 2(1) = 2 \end{aligned}$$

Iteration 2:

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, \frac{2}{3}, 2) &= x^2 + \frac{2}{3}(1-x) + (x-1)^2 \\ \nabla L(x, \frac{2}{3}, 2) &= 2x - \frac{2}{3} + 2(x-1) = 4x - \frac{2}{3} - 2 = 4x - \frac{8}{3} = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow x_2 = \frac{\frac{8}{3}}{4} = \frac{2}{3} \\ \lambda_2 &= \frac{2}{3} - 2 \left(\frac{2}{3} - 1 \right) = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{4}{3} + 1 = -\frac{2}{3} + \frac{3}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_2 = 2(2) = 4$$

5. [20 points] Determine the dual function of the program:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize } x + y \\ & \text{subject to } 2x - x^2 - y^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

[Simplify as much as you can.]

$$L(x, y, \lambda) = x + y + \lambda(x^2 + y^2 - 2x)$$

$$\nabla_{x,y} L(x, y, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2\lambda x - 2\lambda \\ 1 + 2\lambda y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H_L(x, y, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 2\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\lambda > 0$ by the KKT conditions, a critical point of L is a minimizer and therefore the minimum of L is the infimum of L wrt x, y .

$$\nabla_{x,y} L(x, y, \lambda) = \vec{0} \text{ implies}$$

$$2\lambda x = 2\lambda - 1 \Rightarrow x = \frac{2\lambda - 1}{2\lambda} \quad \text{assuming } \lambda > 0$$

$$2\lambda y = -1 \Rightarrow y = -\frac{1}{2\lambda}$$

Back in L :

$$\begin{aligned} L_d(\lambda) &= \frac{2\lambda - 1}{2\lambda} - \frac{1}{2\lambda} + \lambda \left(\left(\frac{2\lambda - 1}{2\lambda} \right)^2 + \left(-\frac{1}{2\lambda} \right)^2 - 2 \left(\frac{2\lambda - 1}{2\lambda} \right) \right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} - \frac{1}{2\lambda} + \lambda \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} - \frac{2\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} + \lambda \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} + \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} - 2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda^2} - 1 \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} - \lambda = \frac{2\lambda - 2 + 1 - 2\lambda^2}{2\lambda} \\ &= \frac{-2\lambda^2 + 2\lambda - 1}{2\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

IF $\lambda = 0$, the problem does not have a solution, because $x+y$ is unbounded.